MCDR INPECTION REPORT

i) Mine file No.-MP/BGT/MN-60/NGP

Mine code-40MPR01055

ii) Name of inspecting Officer-P.Nahar,

iii) Designation-Asstt. Mining Geologist

iv)Accompaning mine Official –Shri Arun Swami,Geologist, Shri Sajay Dixit-Mining Engineer

v) Date of Inspectionvi)Prev. Inspection date-17/07/2021 11/05/2016

A)GENERAL

S.No.	Particulars	Details
1	Name of the Mine	Warud Manganese Ore
2	Total Lease area (Ha) with break up of Non -forest and Forest Land	4.46Ha.(Revenue)
3	Mine code	40MPR01055
4	IBM Registration Number under rule 45 of MCDr-1988	IBM/10271/2012
5	Name of lessee, address, phone, email and Fax. No.	M/s Thomson Gazalle C/o Shri Ajay Masih H.No12,Panchsheel Nagar, District- Raipur(C.G.) Email-masihajay@rediffmail.com Mo-98261440262
6	Village	Warud
7	Taluka/Mandal	Katangi
8	District	Balaghat(M.P.)
9	Pin Code	NA
10	State	M.P.
11	Post office	Katangi
12	Nearest Police Station	Katangi
13	Nearest Railway Station	Tirodi
14	Date of Grant of Mining Lease	25/07/2008
15	Date of Execution	25/07/2008
16	Date of opening of Mine	01/04/2011
17		Not applicable
17	Date of first Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry	Not applicable
18	Date of second Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry	Not applicable
19	Date of submission of renewal application if Mining Operations are continuing under deemed extension	Not applicable
20	Name of the Nominated Owner with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment	Shri Ajay Masih
21	Name of the Mine Agent with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment	Shri Ajay Masih H.No12,Panchsheel Nagar, District- Raipur(C.G.) Email-masihajay@rediffmail.com Mo-98261440262
22	Name of the Mines Manager with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment in mines	Shri Sanjay Dixit, Diploma in Mining and Mine Surveying
23	Name of the Mining Engineer, Qualification and total experience with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment in mine	Shri Sanjay Dixit, Diploma in Mining and Mine Surveying
24	Whether Geologist and Mining Engineer appointed in mines satisfy the rule 42 & carrying out their duties as per rule 43 & 44.	Yes
25	Date of Approval of Mining Plan/Modified Mining Plan with five- year period and specific condition in	Mining Plan-BGT/Mn/MPLN-985/NGP dated 06/06/2008 Modified MP-

	approval letter, if any.	MP/Balaghat/Mn/MPLN/MOD-35/2016- 17 dated-06/03/2017
26	Date of Approval of Scheme of	None
	Mining/Modified Scheme of Mining	
	with five-year period and specific	
	condition in approval letter, if any.	
27	Minerals(s) granted in lease and proved for	Manganese Ore
	mining	
28	Method of Mining(open Cast, Underground)	Open Cast
29	Category(Fully Mechanized, Others or	A
	Manual.	
30	Captive/Non captive	Non captice.

B)Scientific Mining: Compliance of proposals of approved mining plan/scheme of mining.

		Droposals of ap	Actual Work	Remarks
S.No	Item	Proposals		
1a	Backlog of previous year	4 BH at the grid interval of 50mx50m .total meterage-120m	Any not carried out.	Mining was closed due to want of EC.
1b	Exploration over lease area for Geological axis 1 or 2.	G1	Nil	Do
1c	Exploration Agency & Expenditure in lakh Rupees during the year	Not available	Not available	Do
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	Not available	Not available	Do
1e	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	Entire area under G1	Entire area under G2.	Do
1f	Balance reserves as on 01.04.15	122-0.18738 MT 222-0.01486MT		Do
	General remarks of inspecting officer on geology, exploration etc.	Mn ore is found as N350 600 due South direction was proposed to explore having depth of 30m.Mir want of EC. Hence explore carried out.	In the approved mode e entire area by puttin ning could not be com	lified mining plan it ng 4 BH each nmenced due to

C)Development-

S.No	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
2a	Location of development w.r.t. lease are	Central part of the lease area.	Not done	Mining was closed due to want of EC.
2b	Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and mineral (Rule 15) Length x Width x Height	Soil-190mx8mx2.5m Mica schist- 3 benches. 190mx6mx4-6m Mn Ore One bench- 190mx8mx6m	Any subsequent Development not done.	Do
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	1:30	Not done	Do
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	16,200m3	Nil	Do
2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	100500m3	Nil	Do
2f	General remarks of inspecting officer	On the day of inspection entire pit was water logged. Hence any litho unit including Mn ore band could not be observed. As		

on development of pit w.r.t. type of	mentioned in the approved document it is Bedded, Stratiform and Tabular deposits of Irregular Habit. Any work could not be
deposit etc.	done due to want of EC.

D)Exploitation-

S. No	loitation- Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
3a	Number of pits	One	One	Old pit
	proposed for			1
	production			
3b	Quantity of ROM	16-17-900T	Nil	During last 5
	mineral production	17-18-3000T		years the mine
	proposed	18-19-2400T		was not in
	FF	19-20-3300T		operation due to
		20-21-2400T		want of EC.
3c	Recovery of	95%	Nil	Do
	saleable/usable			
	mineral from ROM			
	production			_
3d	Quantity of mineral	5% of the ore	Nil	Do
	reject generation	generated		
3e	Grade of mineral	24.2%,MnO2	Nil	Do
	reject generation and			
	threshold value			
	declared			
3f	Quantity of sub-grade	5% of the Mn ore	Nil	Do
	mineral generation	generated		
3g	Grade of sub-grade	Same as S.No.3(e)	Nil	Do
	mineral generation			
3h	Manual /Mechanised	Mechanized	Not noticed as	Do
	method adopted for		the mine was not	
	segregating from		in operation.	
	ROM			
3i	Any analysis or	Zigging	do	Do
	beneficiation study			
	proposed & carried			
	out for sub-grade			
0.1	mineral and reject	D 1	D	<i>D</i>
3j	Provision of drilling &	Proposed	Do	Do
	blasting in mineral			
0.1	benches	D 1 CICD	D	<i>D</i>
3k	Provision of mining	Deployment of JCB	Do	Do
	machineries in			
21	mineral benches	Duana and I are	D.	D.
31	Whether height of	Proposed as per	Do	Do
	benches in	deployment of mining machinery		
	overburden and	maciniler y		
	mineral suitable for			
	method of mining			
	proposed in MP/SOM			
3m	Total area covered	1.4650Ha	0.8170На	Do
2111	under	1.403011d	U.01/UNA	DU
	excavation/pits			
3n	Ore to OB ratio for	1.30	Not noticed as	Do
	the pit/mine during		the mine was not	
	the year	4.004.57	in operation.	
30	Total area put in use	4.2015Ha	1.3580На	Do
	under different heads			
	at the end of year			

3p	Production of ROM mineral during last five-year period, as applicable	16-17-900T 17-18-3000T 18-19-2400T 19-20-3300T 20-21-2400T	Nil	During last 5 years the mine was closed due to want of EC.
3q	General remarks of inspecting officer on method of mining etc.	Mining was proposed by Same could not be veriful operation due to want of	ied because the min	

E)Solid Waste Management-Dumping

	E)Solid Waste Management-Dumping				
<u>S.No</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Proposals</u>	<u>Actual Work</u>	<u>Remarks</u>	
4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB & mineral reject (Rule 32, 33)	Separate dumping of Top Soil, OB, and separate stacking of Mineral Reject.	Waste was dumped separately during previous SoM period.	No additional dumping was noticed as the mine was not in operation due to want of EC.	
4b	Location of topsoil, OB & mineral reject dumps	Waste Near BP-6 between BP-1 to BP -16 Soil all along the lease boundary	Waste near the Eastern boundary.	Mine was not in operation due to want of EC.	
4c	Number of dumps within lease area and outside lease area	3 within the lease area	3 within the lease area.	do	
4d	Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	Within UPL	Within UPL	do	
4e	Number of active & alive dumps	Three active and alive	Three old dump partially stabilized.	do	
4f	Number of dead dumps	Not proposed.	do	do	
4g	Number of dumps stabilised	Not proposed	Do	do	
4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there	Not proposed	None	do	
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dump	Not proposed.	None		
4j	Number of settling ponds	Not proposed.	None		
4k	Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management		ning was not in operat ny additional dumping	•	

F)Solid Waste Management-Backfilling-

1 10011	John Waste Management Backinning				
<u>S.No</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Proposals</u>	<u>Actual Work</u>	<u>Remarks</u>	
5a	Status on part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling	Not proposed.	Any backfilling not done	Presently there is no matured land for backfilling	
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	Not proposed.	Nil	do	

5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mined out area	Not proposed.	Nil	do
	(Rule 32)			
5d	Total area fully reclaimed & rehabilitated	Not proposed.	Nil	do-
5e	General remarks of inspecting officer on backfilling, reclamation etc.	_ -	on there was not maturable abilitation, hence backfoot.	

G)Progressive Mine Closure Plan-

S.No	ogressive Mine Closur Item	Proposals	Actual Work	<u>Remarks</u>
6a	Whether Annual		Submitted.	
ou	report on PMCP		S distinition in	
	submitted on time			
	and correctly - Rule			
	23E(2). Details			
	should be given in			
	the format as given			
	in Annexure-20.			
6b	Management of	i)Not proposed.	i)Nil	This activity was
	worked/mined out	ii)1300m2	ii)3500m2	done during last
	benches	iii)Not proposed	iii)500Nos.	SoM period.
	i) Area available for	iv)Not proposed	iv)None	
	rehabilitation (ha)	v) Not proposed	v)None	
	ii) Afforestation	vi)Not proposed	v) None.	
	done (ha)			
	iii) No. of saplings			
	planted during the			
	year			
	iv) Cumulative no. of			
	plants			
	v) Any other specific			
	method of			
	rehabilitation			
	vi) Cost incurred on			
	watch & care during			
	the year			_
6c	Compliance on			Presently there
	reclamation and			is no matured
	rehabilitation by			land for reclamation and
	backfilling			rehabilitation.
	i) Voids available for	Nil	None	i chabilitation <u>.</u>
	backfilling (L X B X			
	D)			
	ii) Void filled by	Nil	None	
	waste/tailings			
	iii) Afforestation on	Nil	Nil	
	the backfilled area	NI:1	NIS	
	iv) Rehabilitation by	Nil	Nil	
	making water			
	reservoir			
	v) Any other specific	Nil	Nil	
<i>c</i> 1	means			
6d	Compliance of			
	Rehabilitation of			
	waste land within			
	lease			

	i) Afforestation	i)300Nos	i)500Nos.	
	ii) Area	ii)1300m2	ii)0.50Ha	
	rehabilitated (ha)			
	iii) Method of rehabilitation	iii)Afforestation	iii)Afforestation	
6e	Compliance of	Not proposed	None	
	Environmental			
	monitoring (core			
	zone & buffer zone)			
6f	General remarks of	Presently there is no mat		
	inspecting officer on	rehabilitation .All 3 old w	• •	tially rehabilitated
	PMCP compliance &	by plantation of about 50	00 Nos. of trees.	
	progressive closure			
	operations			

H)Mineral Conservation-

S.No	<u>Item</u>	<u>Proposals</u>	Actual Work	<u>Remarks</u>
7a	ROM Mineral	Grade wise sorting	Not noticed as	Do
	dispatch or grade-	within the lease area.	the mine was	
	wise sorting within		closed due to	
	lease area		want of EC.	
7b	Method of grade-	Manual	Do	
	wise mineral sorting			
	i.e. manual or			
	mechanical			
7c	Different grade of	Ferro alloys and Steel	Do	
	mineral sorted out	Plants		
	at mines			
7d	Any beneficiation	Not proposed	None	
	process at mines			
7e	General remarks of	As on date of inspection the mine was closed due to want of EC. Hence this activity was not noticed.		
	inspecting officer on			
	Mineral			
	conservation &			
	beneficiation issues			

I)Environment -

<u>S.No</u>	<u>Item</u>	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	Proposed	Not noticed as the mine was closed due to want of EC.	
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	Removal followed by Storage thereafter utilization	Do	
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Proposed Waste rock and Top Soil	Waste dump made during pervious SoM period was noticed.	
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	Proposed at the Conceptual Stage	Presently, there is no matured land for restoration	
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc.)	Proposed at the Conceptual Stage	Presently, there is no matured land for restoration	
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation &	About25 Nos. of fully grown tree have been noticed besides afforestion done by the ML holder during last		

	additional plantation	intation SoM period about 500Nos.						
J)Compliance of rule 45-								
<u>S.No</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Comments</u>		<u>Remarks</u>				
9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	M.R. Submitted up to –June-21 A.R. submitted up to -20-21						
S.N.	Item	Details GIVEN in A.R.	Observation of I/Officer	Remarks				
9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Mining Engineer- Shri Sanjay Dixit Diploma in Mining and Mine Surveying Geologist-Shri Arun Swami, M.Sc.(Geology)	Mining Engineer- Shri Sanjay Dixit Diploma in Mining and Mine Surveying Geologist-Shri Arun Swami, M.Sc.(Geology)					
9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	Area exploited- 4.464Ha Area covered under open cast working- 1.350Ha Reclamation and Rehabilitation- Nil Area used for waste disposal- Nil Area occupied by building-100ft2	Area exploited Nil Ha Area covered under open cast working- 1.3580Ha Reclamation and Rehabilitation- Nil Area used for waste disposal- 0.5Ha Area occupied by building-100ft2					
9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation	50Nos.	500Nos (Cumulative)					
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade & quantity)	Nil	Nil					
9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore	79.590T	70 to 80t					
9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price & production cost	Ex mine price-Nil Sale value-Nil	Mine in not in operation hence not applicable.					
9i	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets	Nil	2.5Lakh(approx)	Mine Office and Store.				
9k	Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries	Back Hoe-01 No.	None	Mine was not in operation since long				

The mine is not in production since last 5 years hence any violation not observed.